

Archaeological Testing at the Hogjaw Valley Site, 1Ja643, Jackson County, Alabama



By Daniel T. Elliott and Scot J. Keith

June 2001

**Archaeological Testing
at the Hogjaw Valley Site, 1Ja643,
Jackson County, Alabama**

Submitted to:

Jackson County Commission
Courthouse Suite 47
Scottsboro, Alabama 35678

Prepared by:

Southern Research,
Historic Preservation Consultants, Inc.
P.O. Box 250
Ellerslie, Georgia 31807

Principal Investigator, Daniel T. Elliott

Authored by Daniel T. Elliott and Scot J. Keith,
with contributions by Susan L. Scott

June 2001

Abstract

Archaeological testing was conducted at the Hogjaw Valley Site (1Ja643) on the Tennessee River in Jackson County, Tennessee in the Fall of 2000. This archaeological project was conducted by Southern Research, Historic Preservation Consultants for the Jackson County Commission. The purpose of this study was to assess the impact of a proposed erosion stabilization project at a series of gullies on this long, narrow prehistoric midden site. Most of the site has already eroded into Gunter'sville Lake but a remnant is preserved beneath the old County Road 91 (CR91), which has served to protect it. The bank erosion is encroaching on the existing CR91, however, and stabilization efforts are needed. The site boundaries were established by surface reconnaissance and post-hole testing and two areas were selected for larger stratigraphic test excavations, which were designated Blocks A and B. These blocks were composed of fifteen 1 x 1 m test units. These tests revealed deeply buried stratified Archaic and Woodland period deposits and intact subsurface Woodland period pit features. A variety of material culture and food remains was recovered and is described in this report. These excavations indicate that Site 1Ja643 is eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places, under Criterion D. Although the proposed erosion stabilization project will damage a portion of the archaeological site, this adverse effect is outweighed by the protection it will afford from future erosion. Monitoring by a professional archaeologist is recommended for the proposed bank stabilization project.

Management Summary

Archaeological Testing was conducted in 2000 at the Hogjaw Valley Archaeological Site (1Ja643) on the Tennessee River in Jackson County, Alabama. This project was conducted by Southern Research, Historic Preservation Consultants for the Jackson County Commission. This work was required to assess the adverse impact of a proposed bank erosion stabilization effort at a series of gullies on this site. The archaeological work consisted of site delineation by surface reconnaissance and 15 post-hole tests and test excavation at two areas. Two block excavations (Designated Blocks A and B) examined potentially important portions of the site. These excavations revealed a deep, stratified Archaic and Woodland period deposit that included pit features from the Woodland period. A wide variety of cultural material was recovered from these excavations that added to our knowledge of life during this prehistoric period. The site was deemed eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places, under Criterion D. Although the proposed bank stabilization project will negatively affect a portion of the site, this impact is outweighed by the beneficial effects of the protection from erosion to the remainder of the site. Monitoring by a professional archaeologist is recommended for the proposed bank stabilization project. On a long-term basis, lake erosion threatens the total destruction of 1Ja643 and any agencies that are charged with the protection of this site should seek ways to preserve or mitigate the adverse effects of the TVA lake erosion.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to Joe Buttram (Chairman) and the Commissioners of Jackson County, Alabama for supporting this archaeological effort and for being good stewards of their archaeological resources. Thanks also to “Pee Wee” and the engineers with Jackson County who provided logistical support throughout the project. Teresa Paglione, Archaeologist with the Natural Resources Conservation Service, coordinated the work between Jackson County and Southern Research. Teresa’s guidance was invaluable for the successful completion of the archaeological project. Thanks to Eugene Futato and the Office of Archaeological Services’ staff at Moundville for providing information about previous research at the site. Thanks to the staff of the Alabama Historical Commission in Montgomery for making their files available for our research. Thanks to Zooarchaeologist Susan Scott, who provided a rapid response to our request for zooarchaeological services and offered some important observations on the future research potential of 1Ja643. Thanks to the staff at Beta Analytic, Inc., Miami, Florida, who provided a fast and accurate response to our radiometric dating needs. The field work was directed by Daniel Elliott and Scot Keith, with the able assistance of Courtney Helfrecht, John Kannady, John Doolin, Matt Wood, and Bill Zimmerman. Laboratory analysis was completed by Daniel Elliott, Scott Keith, Debra Wells, Leslie Cooper, Dean Wood and Matt Wood. Debra Wells assembled the report graphics and coordinated the report production phase of the project. Her editorial efforts and diverse talents are greatly appreciated.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Management Summary	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	vi
I. Introduction	1
Project Environment	3
Archaeological Overview	3
Previous Archaeological Investigations Near 1Ja643	8
Previous Research at 1Ja643	10
II. Research Methods	13
Archival and Historical Research	13
Field Methods	15
Laboratory Analysis	19
Aboriginal Artifacts	19
Special Analyses	20
III. Results	23
The Proposed Work Areas	25
Excavation Block A	32
Features	32
Excavation Block B	40
Material Culture	40
Pottery	40
Stone Tools	43
Projectile Points or Hafted Knives (PPK)	49
Chipped Stone Debitage	53
Gorgets	53
Soapstone vessels	58
Bone	59
Bone Tools	61
IV. Interpretations	63
Site Formation and Structure	63
Use of Space at 1Ja643	65
V. Management Recommendations	73
VI. References Cited	75
Appendix I: Resume of Principal Investigator	

Appendix V: Zooarchaeological Analysis, 1Ja643, by Susan L. Scott

List of Figures

Figure 1. Project Location	2
Figure 2. West View from Block A, 1Ja643	4
Figure 3. North View from Block B, 1Ja643	4
Figure 4. Northeast View from Block B, 1Ja643	5
Figure 5. Southeast View from Block A, 1Ja643	5
Figure 6. East View of Eroding Bank, 1Ja6436	6
Figure 7. Northwest View of Shoreline, 1Ja643	6
Figure 8. Plan of Site 1Ja643	24
Figure 9. Work Areas 1 and 2, Bank Profiles, 1Ja643	27
Figure 10. Work Areas 3 and 5, Bank Profiles, 1Ja643	29
Figure 11. Work Areas 10 and 11, Bank Profiles, 1Ja643	31
Figure 12. Site Plan in Vicinity of Block A	33
Figure 13. West Profile of Block A, 1Ja643	34
Figure 14. Southwest View of Block A, 1Ja643	34
Figure 15. South Profile, Block A, 1Ja643	35
Figure 16. West Profile, Block A, 1Ja643	35
Figure 17. Features 1, 2, and 3, After Excavation, 1Ja643	36
Figure 18. Feature 4, 1Ja643	36
Figure 19. Plan of Features 1, 2, and 3, Block A, 1Ja643	37
Figure 20. Profiles of Features 2 and 3, Block A, 1Ja643	38
Figure 21. Plan of Feature 4, Block A, 1Ja643	38
Figure 22. Site Plan in Vicinity of Block B, 1Ja643	41
Figure 23. East view of Block B, 1Ja643.	42
Figure 24. North Profile, Block B, 1Ja643	42
Figure 25. Selected Fabric Marked Pottery Sherds, 1Ja643 (1:1)	44
Figure 26. Selected Other Pottery Sherds, 1Ja643 (1:1)	45
Figure 27. Selected Stemmed Projectile Point/Knives, 1Ja643 (1:1)	51
Figure 28. Selected Triangular and Other Projectile Point/Knives, 1Ja643 (1:1) ...	52
Figure 29. Selected Chipped Stone Tools, 1Ja643 (1:1)	54
Figure 30. Selected Gorget and Stone Bowl Fragments, 1Ja643 (1:1)	57
Figure 31. Chipped Stone Axe Fragments, 1Ja643 (1:1)	60
Figure 32. Obverse and Reverse of Selected Bone Tools, 1Ja643 (1:1)	62
Figure 33. Distribution of Pottery Sherds, All Excavation Levels, 1Ja643	66
Figure 34. Distribution of Daub, All Excavation Levels, 1Ja643	68
Figure 35. Distribution of Diagnostic PPKs and Selected Ground Stone Tools, All Excavation Levels	69
Figure 36. Distribution of Chipped Stone Debitage, All Excavation Levels, 1Ja643	70
Figure 37. Distribution of Bone, 1Ja643, by Minimum Number of Individuals	71

List of Tables

Table 1. Pottery Summary, 1Ja643.	43
Table 2. Stone Tool Summary, 1Ja643	46
Table 3. Attributes of Selected Projectile Point/Knives (PPKs), 1Ja643.....	50
Table 4. Debitage Summary, 1Ja643	55